



## **Cranial Electrotherapy Stimulation (CES): A Safe and Effective Non-Pharmacological Treatment for Anxiety**

### **A Review of the Literature**

by

**Daniel L. Kirsch, Ph.D., D.A.A.P.M.** Medical Scope Monthly, 3, 1, 1-26, 1996

Address correspondence to:

Electrical stimulation for therapeutic purposes is not new. At least two millennium ago, physicians used electric eels to relieve pain. Experimentation with low intensity electrical stimulation of the brain was first reported by Drs. Leduc and Rouxau of France in 1902. Initially, this method was called electrosleep as it was thought to be able to induce sleep. Since then, it has been referred to by many other names, the most popular being transcranial electrotherapy (TCET) and neuroelectric therapy (NET). Research on using what is now referred to as cranial electrotherapy stimulation (CES) for treatment of anxiety began in the Soviet Union during the 1950's. Research conducted throughout this century has demonstrated that the nervous system works through a complex interaction of both chemical and electrical properties. Neuronal processes can be altered by electrical as well as pharmacological means.

Cranial Electrotherapy Stimulation is a simple treatment that can easily be administered at any time. The current is applied by easy-to-use clip electrodes that attach on the ear lobes, or by stethoscope-type

electrodes placed behind the ears. In the 1960's and early 1970's, electrodes were placed directly on the eyes because it was thought that the low level of current used in CES could not otherwise penetrate the cranium. This electrode placement has been abandoned over 20 years ago.

Anxiety reduction is usually experienced during a treatment, but may be seen hours later, or as late as one day after treatment. In some people, it may require a series of five to ten daily treatments to be effective.

Cranial electrotherapy stimulation leaves the user alert while inducing a relaxed state. Psychologists call this an alpha state. The effect differs from pharmaceutical treatment in that people usually report feeling that their bodies are more relaxed, while their minds are more alert. Most people experience a feeling that their bodies are lighter, while thinking is clearer and more creative. A mild tingling sensation at the electrode sites may also be experienced. The current should never be raised to a level that is uncomfortable. One 20 minute session is often all that is needed to effectively control anxiety for at least a day, and the effects appear to be cumulative. Cranial electrotherapy stimulation may also be used as an adjunct to anxiolytic medication and/or psychotherapy, behavioral modification, and other conservative methods of treatment. For people who have difficulty falling asleep, CES should be used at least three hours before going to bed or the increased alertness may interfere with sleep. A review article can not adequately describe the CES experience. Only trying it or witnessing its use will do that.

After treatment, there are usually no physical limitations imposed so most people can resume normal activities immediately. Some people may experience a euphoric feeling, or a state of deep relaxation that may temporarily impair their mental and/or physical abilities for the performance of potentially hazardous tasks, such as operating a motor vehicle or heavy machinery, for up to several hours after treatment. At present, there are over 100 research studies on CES in humans and 18 experimental animal studies. No significant lasting side effects have been reported. Occasional self-limiting headache, discomfort or skin irritation under the electrodes, or lightheadedness may occur. Patients with a history of vertigo may experience dizziness for hours or days after treatment.

Cranial electrotherapy stimulators are generally limited to less than one milliamper (mA) of current. The Alpha-Stim 100 is an example of a CES device that employs very low intensity electrical current pulses (up to 600 microamperes) for the treatment of anxiety. To put this into perspective, it takes one-half of an ampere to light an ordinary 60 watt light bulb. To truly compare the work done per second by these two different currents, we must multiply the currents by the respective voltages that drive them. The product current x voltage is a measure of the rate of generation of energy, and is referred to as the power output. By definition, when a device outputs 1 ampere of current with a 1 volt driving force, the power output of the device is 1 watt. Therefore for the Alpha-Stim 100, the maximum output is (600/1,000,000)amperes x 9 volts = 0.0054 watts, or about 11,000 times less power than the light bulb. Many people do not even feel this amount of current.

The current state of knowledge of bioelectrical systems is limited, as it is in many areas of biology. At the present time there is no uniform agreement on the mechanisms of action of CES. Accordingly, the evidence of CES effectiveness is empirical. It is generally believed that the effects are primarily mediated through a direct action on the brain at the limbic system, the hypothalamus and/or reticular activating system (Brotman, 1989; Gibson, 1987; Madden, 1987). The primary role of the reticular activating system is the regulation of electrocortical activity. These are "primitive" brain stem structures. The functions of these areas and their influence on our emotional states were mapped using electrical stimulation. Electrical stimulation of the periaqueductal gray matter (PAG) has been shown to activate descending inhibitory

pathways from the medial brainstem to the dorsal horn of the spinal cord, in a manner similar to (-endorphins (Salar, 1981; Pert, 1981; Ng, 1975). Cortical inhibition is a factor in the Melzack-Wall Gate Control theory (Melzack, 1975). It is possible that CES may produce its effects through parasympathetic autonomic nervous system dominance via stimulation of the vagus nerve (CN X) (Toriyama, 1975). Other cranial nerves such as the trigeminal (CN V), facial (CN VII), and glossopharyngeal (CN IX), may also be involved (Taylor, 1991). Electrocortical activity produced by stimulation of the trigeminal nerve has been implicated in the function of the limbic region of the midbrain affecting emotions (Fields, 1975). Substance P and enkephalin have been found in the trigeminal nucleus, and are postulated to be involved in limbic emotional brain factors (Hokfelt, 1977). The auditory-vestibular nerve (CN VIII) must also be effected by CES, accounting for the dizziness one experiences when the current is too high. Ideally, CES electrodes are placed on the ear lobes because that is a convenient way to direct current through the brain stem structures.

Animal studies of CES using monkeys reveal that 42% to 46% of the total applied current enters the brain, with the highest concentration in the thalamic region (Jarzembki, 1970). Rat studies showed as much as a threefold increase in (-endorphin concentration after just one CES treatment (Krupitsky, 1991). Mongrel dog research suggests that CES releases dopamine in the basal ganglia, and that overall physiological effects appear to be anticholinergic and catecholamine-like in action (Pozos, 1971). The size, location, and distribution of synaptic vesicles were all within normal limits after a series of ten, one hour treatments in Rhesus monkeys (Richter, 1972). Several studies in humans and stump-tailed macaques revealed a temporary reduction in gastric hypersecretion (Reigel, 1970; Reigel, 1971; Wilson, 1970; Kotter, 1975).

One hundred and three human studies involving 4,848 subjects (3,404 receiving cranial electrotherapy stimulation, while the remainder served as sham-treated or controls) reveal significant changes associated with anxiolytic relaxation responses, such as lowered electromyograms (Gibson, 1987; Forster, 1963; Heffernan, 1995; Overcash, 1989; Voris, 1995), slowing on electroencephalograms (Braverman, 1990; Cox, 1975; Krupitsky, 1991; McKenzie, 1976; Sing, 1971), increased peripheral temperature (an indicator of vasodilation) (Brotman, 1989; Heffernan, 1995), reductions in maximal acid output (Kotter, 1975), and in blood pressure, pulse, respiration, and heart rate (Heffernan, 1995; Taylor, 1991).

The efficacy of CES has also been clinically confirmed through the use of 28 different psychometric tests. The significance of CES research for treating anxiety has been reconfirmed through meta-analyses conducted at the University of Tulsa (O'Connor, 1991), and at the Department of Health Policy and Management, Harvard School of Public Health (Klawansky, 1995).

The authors reviewed all the aforementioned 103 CES studies for comments on side effects and safety. The most common area of complaint, reported in five studies, was transient blurring of vision lasting no more than one hour from the mechanical pressure caused by eye electrodes used in the 1960's and early 1970's. The incidence of this problem was seen equally in active CES groups and sham CES, indicating the problem was due to mechanical pressure over the orbits, and not electrically-induced. As stated previously, this problem does not apply to modern CES devices because none use eye electrodes. There were seven reports of headaches (0.2%), and three cases of skin irritation or electrode burns at the electrode sites (0.09%). Table One lists all comments on side effects and safety in the English language literature on CES.

Table One

### COMMENTS ON SIDE EFFECTS AND SAFETY FROM ALL CES RESEARCH STUDIES

First Author, Year	N	Subject Description	Authors' Comments on Safety and Side Effects
Achte, K.A. 1968	24	severe insomnia patients and drug abusers	Complications were discovered in 5 cases, 2 complained of headaches, 3 felt aching in the eyes, 1 had hysterical convulsions during the treatment. Too strong currents caused headaches in healthy persons. The currents were too weak to cause convulsions and too weak to bring about neuro-vegetative side effects.
England, Ronald R. 1976	18	migraine patients	1 subject in the placebo group developed a skin irritation at the location of the electrode. She suggested that sensations felt during the treatment were responsible.
Feighner, John P. 1973	23	long term psychiatric patients, unresponsive to medications, ECT, psychotherapy	4 of 6 long term depressed patients were dropped from the study because of massive worsening of depressive symptoms.
Flemerbaum, A. 1974	28	anxiety, depression, insomnia outpatients unresponsive to	An insignificant trend towards worsening was seen by the 24th week. 5 of 25 patients were not improved or had become worse. The author added that side effects are virtually nonexistent.
		medications	
Forster, Sigmund 1963	23	inducing sleep	Although as current amplitude was increased to 20 volts a feeling of slight dizziness approaching a headache was noted, the authors concluded that the technic appears to be entirely safe.
Frankel, Bernard 1973	17	insomniacs	A commonly reported innocuous side effect was mild blurring of vision lasting 15-30 minutes which resulted from sustained mechanical pressure of the electrodes on the eyeballs.
Gomez, Evaristo 1979	28	14 heroin patients, 7 placebo and 7 controls	It was noted that with a higher current the patients felt uncomfortable, but there were no skin burns.
Hearst, E.D. 1974	28	psychotherapy outpatients	No patient with primary affective disorder was adversely effected by CES.
Hochman, Richard 1988	600	dental patients	From the results obtained during 1 year of treating a variety of patients requiring a broad scope of dental treatments, CES was found to provide a safe, noninvasive, readily acceptable, adjunctive analgesic modality to maintain patient comfort through the majority of dental procedures for most patients.
Koegler, R.R. 1971	14	insomnia patients	The only side effects noted were blood pressure lowers during treatment, and a slight blurring of vision occurs due to eye electrodes, which stops within a few minutes.
Krupitsky, E.M. 1991	20	alcoholic patients with affective disorders	CES was not accompanied by side effects nor complications and was well tolerated by the patients. CES tends to avoid side effects and complications sometimes observed in antidepressant therapy and tranquilizers.
Levitt, Eugene 1975	13	psychiatric inpatients	Subjects in both groups reported slight blurring of vision lasting 30 - 45 minutes following treatments. This supports the findings of other researchers that the blurred vision effect is mechanically caused by pressure of eye electrodes, and not electrical current.
McKenzie, Richard 1971, 1976	12	8 chronic anxiety, depression and insomnia patients and 4 controls	Blurring of vision due to eye electrode pressure was fairly uniform over the small control sample and was not especially uncomfortable.
Magora, F. 1967	A: 20 B: 9	A: hospitalized polysubstance abusers, and B: asthmatic children	No ill-effects were noted on prolonged and repeated observations in dogs and in humans.
Magora, F. 1965	31	inducing sleep	No ill effects were observed after repeated experiments in the same and different individuals.

balance on outpatients 57.87% of the patients who have completed CES treatment, and 42.16% were still receiving treatment at the time of the survey. 4 patients continued treatment because

10/27/2003 05:12:32 PM

First Author, Year	N	Subject Description	Author's Comments on Safety and Side Effects
Marshall, Alan 1974	40	depressive inpatients	Although no patients were burned, the author and 1 pilot subject suffered second degree burns behind 1 ear at the point of electrode contact.
Matteson, Michael 1986	62	graduate students	4 subjects left the study due to complaints of headaches.
Miller, E.C. 1965	27	sleep induction	There appears to be no disturbing side effects; however, 1 patient did undergo a brief dissociative episode after beginning his third treatment.
Overcash, Stephen 1995	197	anxiety outpatients	There were no reported side effects (short or long term).
Phillip, P. 1991	21	psychiatric depressive inpatients	In 2 cases, benzodiazepine withdrawal induced epileptiform seizures in patients devoid of epileptic history. These seizures did not occur during CES.
Rosenthal, Saul H. 1970	13	psychiatric patients unresponsive to meds	There was no side effects reported by any of the patients other than a transient blurring of vision reported by several of the patients, probably associated with the forced closure of the eyes by the eyepads for 1 hour, which cleared 15-30 minutes after treatment.
Rosenthal, Saul H. 1970	18	outpatients with chronic anxiety, depression & insomnia	The only side effect was the transient blurring of vision reported by several of the patients, which cleared within 15 minutes after treatment. It was probably due to the forced closure of the eyes and the pressure of the eye pads. It was seen less often with later patients, and this may be due to less tight placement of the electrodes.
Rosenthal, Saul H. 1972	7	1 psychologist, 3 medical students, 1 psychiatry resident, 1 secretary	1 subject complained of mildly unpleasant experiences including visual disturbances lasting a maximum of 1 hour, tinnitus lasting several hours, hyperactivity, difficulty sleeping, and epigastric sensations. His hyperactivity and sleeping difficulty were gone 48 hours after the final treatment and 1
			week later he reported, "I don't feel anxious, I feel fine." His previous mild transient reactive depression had not occurred and he was sleeping soundly. 2 subjects reported a headache lasting 1 hour.
Sing, K. 1967	30	anxiety patients with sleep disturbances	The following side effects were noted: headache, giddiness, pain in tooth, heaviness around eyes, pain in eyes, pain behind the ears.
Smith, Ray B. 1993	23	psychiatric outpatients with anxiety, depression, attention deficit disorder	There did not seem to be any pattern of addiction to or over dependence on the CES device. There was no side effects except 1 patient who cried during treatments, and 1 was sore behind the ears when the electrode gel began drying out.
Smith, Ray B. 1994	21	closed head injury inpatients	1 patient on sham CES was seen to have a seizure. No negative effects from CES treatment was seen.
Solomon, Seymour 1989	112	tension headache patients	6 of 7 in the active group, and 7 of 55 in the placebo group had 1 or more adverse events. The incidence of adverse events was not significantly different between the active and placebo groups for any of the reported symptoms.

it was not efficacious, 3 discontinued due to undesirable side effects, and 24 for other reasons. Table Two provides the results of the survey.

**Table Two**

**POSTMARKETING SURVEY**

Condition	Number Reported*	Degree of Improvement Using the Alpha-Stim 100 CES							
		Worse	No Change	Slight <24%	Fair 25-49%	Moderate 50-74%	Marked 75-99%	Complete 100%	Significant >25%
Anxiety	207	0 0%	1 0.48%	4 1.93%	22 10.63%	58 28.02%	110 53.14%	12 5.80%	202 97.58%
Stress	162	0 0%	0 0%	4 2.47%	26 16.05%	48 29.63%	78 48.15%	6 3.70%	158 97.53%
Depression	102	0 0%	0 0%	3 2.94%	12 11.76%	28 27.45%	55 53.92%	4 3.92%	99 97.06%
Insomnia	74	0 0%	2 2.70%	1 1.35%	7 9.46%	20 27.03%	33 44.59%	11 14.86%	71 95.95%
Pain	125	1 0.80%	0 0%	2 1.60%	20 16%	33 26.40%	48 38.40%	21 16.80%	122 97.60%
Headache	74	0 0%	2 2.70%	0 0%	7 9.46%	16 21.62%	39 52.70%	10 13.51%	72 97.30%
Muscle Tension	110	0 0%	0 0%	1 0.91%	20 18.18%	27 24.55%	51 46.36%	11 10.00%	109 99.09%

\* Total N = 313 reported on multiple symptoms 6 (1.9%) reported dizziness as a side effect, which usually occurs when the current is set too high, or in patients with a history of vertigo, 1 (0.3%) reported a "singed" earlobe (electrode burn), 1 (0.3%) reported anxiety/nausea, and 1 (0.3%) reported a nger. The latter two problems most likely were a result of the underlying disease, not the CES treatments.

Fifteen studies conducted follow-up investigations from 1 week to 2 years after treatment. Thirteen of 13 (100%) reported a continued improvement after a single CES treatment, or a series of CES treatments. The other two of the follow-up reports only commented on safety (Forster, 1963, and Hochman, 1988). None of the 15 revealed any long term harmful effects. The author's comments on follow-up are listed in Table Three.



Table Three

### COMMENTS ON FOLLOW-UP FROM ALL CES RESEARCH STUDIES

First Author, Year	N	Subject Description	Authors' Comments on Follow-up
Brotman, Philip 1986	36	classical migraine patients	The CES group responded significantly better than the other 2 groups over the 3 month follow-up. Only the CES group showed significant carry-over effects in finger temperature.
Brovar, A. 1984	25	cocaine abusers	A follow-up of the 3 groups from 6 to 8 months later showed that no CES patients had returned for treatment, while 50% of the CES refusers and 39% of the controls had recidivated.
Cartwright, R.D. 1975	10	sleep onset insomnia patients	Only 1 of 4 responders relapsed during the 2 year no-treatment period.
Flemlenbaum, A. 1974	28	anxiety, depression, insomnia outpatients unresponsive to medications	Those who had beneficial results maintained them throughout the 6 month follow-up.
Forster, Sigmund 1963	23	inducing sleep	Follow-up of patients up to 1 year after treatment has not revealed any harmful side effects.
Hearst, E.D. 1974	28	psychotherapy outpatients	3 patients showed continued improvement for 2 weeks to 2 months.
Heffernan, Michael 1995	20	generalized stress >1 year, unresponsive to medications	1 week follow-up measures in the CES group showed significant carryover effects in EMG and HR, but were not significant at the .05 level for finger temperature or capacitance.
Koegler, R.R. 1971	14	insomnia patients	Some patients maintained their improvement 4 months later, while others had partial return of symptoms. None regressed completely.
Magora, F. 1967	A: 20 B: 9	A: hospitalized polysubstance abusers, and B: asthmatic children	A: Follow-up has continued for 8-12 months after treatment and has revealed no relapse. B: The asthmatic attacks stopped completely in 3 children and 4 months later the children felt well without taking any drugs.
Matteson, Michael 1986	62	32 CES graduate students, 22 controls	A follow-up measure 2 weeks post study found that 11 of the 13 variables were still significantly improved in the treatment group.
Moore, J.A. 1975	17	anxiety and insomnia patients	Despite largely negative findings several Ss reported "a remarkable improvement" in their symptoms 2 - 3 weeks after CES.
Overcash, Stephen, 1995	197	anxiety outpatients	On 6 - 8 month follow-up, 73% of the patients were "well satisfied with their treatment and had no significant regression or other anxiety disorder, 18% were "satisfied" but had some problem with anxiety since they stopped the treatment, and 9% chose not to respond, had significant symptoms since stopping the treatment, or in 1 case, "was not satisfied".
Smith, Ray B. 1993	23	psychiatric outpatients with anxiety, depression, attention deficit disorder	On 18 month follow-up the patients performed as well or better than in the original study. The Full Scale IQ had not moved significantly from where it was after the first 3 weeks of treatment, the Performance IQ fell back slightly, while the Verbal IQ continued to increase.
Weiss, Marc F. 1973	10	insomnia patients	All differences found were maintained at the 2 week and 2 year follow-up.

When restricted to anxiety populations or studies that measured for physiological and/or psychological changes in anxiety, there are 40 scientific studies of CES, involving 1,835 patients. 34 of the 40 (85%) studies reported efficacious results in the treatment of anxiety. Five of the studies on CES (all using the Alpha-Stim) support the effectiveness for managing anxiety during or after a single treatment (Gibson, 1983; Heffernan, 1995; Smith, 1993; Voris, 1995; Winick, 1995).

Of the 6 of 40 (15%) anxiety studies categorized by the authors as having negative results, 5 were done in the 1970's, and 1 in 1980. Three showed both actual treatment and sham groups to improve significantly, most likely because both groups were also taking medications (Levitt, 1975; Passini, 1976; Von Richtofen, 1980). One was a depression study in which the author noted that acute anxiety was not relieved and again, the study did not control for medications (Hearst, 1974). One reported no significant change on anxiety or depression scales, but subjective insomnia improved ( $P < .05$ ) during active treatment (Moore, 1975). Only one study conducted on a population of insomniacs with an average duration of symptoms for almost 20 years did not show any significant change at all in any parameters (Frankel, 1973).

Table four provides a summary of all the CES research in the English language on anxiety patients, and on other populations that were tested for anxiety.

**Table Four**

### CES RESEARCH ON ANXIETY: SCIENTIFIC STUDIES

This table includes all known studies that were done on patients with diagnosis of anxiety or that utilized tests for anxiety.

Notes: Numbers in the Results column indicate means unless otherwise indicated.

A list of psychometric tests abbreviated under methodology is provided following this table.

Author/Year	Material/Methods	N	Subject	Results, Comments and Conclusions
Bianco, Faust 1994	double-blind, IRB approved, 6 - 14, 45 minute treatments, Beck Anxiety and Depression Inventories, HAS; LB 2000	65	polysubstance abuser inpatients with anxiety	Hamilton Anxiety: CES pre: 24.44 □ 9.22 → post: 7.09 □ 3.21 placebo pre: 22.56 □ 9.95 → post: 15.67 □ 7.92 controls pre: 20.56 □ 6.21 → post: 16.89 □ 9.06  CES vs placebo or controls P<.05, placebo vs control P>.05 There was no significant difference between variables at pretest, however there was significant post test group differences. Although the self reports showed no statistical differences between groups, there was a trend towards significance. The author concluded that the active CES, when combined with the normal treatment regimen given at the treatment facilities was more effective in reducing anxiety and depression than the normal treatment regimen alone and the sham CES plus normal treatment regimen.
Briones, David 1973	5, 30 minute treatments, urinary free catecholamines & 17 ketosteroids; device not specified	7	7 males: 4 normals and 3 psychiatric inpatients with neurotic anxiety	There was a 23.9 to 47.4 microgram increase in 24 hour urinary free catecholamines, with the greatest rise in 2 anxiety patients and 1 volunteer who was slightly symptomatic, and an average gain of 6.9 mg in 24 hr urinary 17 ketosteroid. The authors suggested that these findings probably reflected change at the hypothalamic or pituitary level in the brain.
Feighner, John 1973	double-blind crossover, 10, 30 minute treatments, Zung and other tests; Electrosone 50	23	long term anxiety, depression and insomnia psychiatric patients, unresponsive to medications, psychotherapy, or ECT	CES → placebo group: 4.5 on day 1→ 2.5 on day 15 (P<.02)→ 3.4 on day 26 (P<.10) placebo → CES group: 4.4 on day 1→ 4.0 on day 15 (N.S.)→ 3.2 on day 26 (P<.05) Scores on the Zung self rating depression scale improved significantly in both groups, but only after 10 days of active treatment, and never during the sham phase of treatment. Actively treated patients also improved significantly on other target symptoms, particularly anxiety and insomnia. 7 of 8 patients who exhibited significant improvement relapsed within the first month after treatment 4 of 6 long term depressed patients were dropped from the study because of massive worsening of depressive symptoms, 2 of whom exhibited suicidal ideation. The remaining 2 depressed patients had an unremarkable course, but remained in the study. 3 patients benefited more from this therapy than any previous extensive psychiatric care.
Flemenbaum, A. 1974  Flemenbaum, A. 1974 (continued)	5, 30 minute treatments, Zung, global clinical ratings of 1-7; Electrosone 50	28	anxiety, depression, insomnia outpatients unresponsive to medications	By the 6th week the pathology for the 3 groups seemed to be reduced to approximately equal levels. Comparison of the final results with the pretreatment ratings shows improvement statistically significant at the .01 level. The author noted that at the end of the study 12 of the 25 patients were much, or very much improved, 8 had shown some effect, though minimal, and 5 were not improved or had become worse. Some of these chronic patients were practically asymptomatic, other psychophysiological symptoms like asthma and blood pressure had become controllable by regular medical treatment, and/or their target symptoms showed complete or nearly complete remission. Most remarkable of all, these changes occurred in patients who previously had not responded to extensive treatment. Although about 50% of the patients showed minimal improvement, or none at all, those who had beneficial results



[ The entirety of Table 4 can be found in the [Microsoft Word Version](#) of this document]

Cranial electrotherapy stimulation has been well researched and clearly proven to be the most effective, and safest method of treatment for anxiety, and anxiety-related disorders. It is also highly effective for depression and insomnia, muscle tension, and headaches. As an increasing number of patients seek alternatives to the side effects and potential addiction of pharmaceuticals, CES offers a viable solution. It is inexpensive to offer CES in a physician's office, clinic, or hospital, and chronically-stressed patients will find it cost-effective over time to own their own CES device.

### **About the Authors:**

Dr. Daniel L. Kirsch is a neurobiologist and a Diplomate of the American Academy of Pain Management. He designed Alpha-Stim technology and is Chairman of the Board of Electromedical Products International, Inc. Dr. Kirsch is Dean Emeritus of the Graduate School of Electromedical Sciences at City University Los Angeles. He has served as Clinical Director of The Center for Stress and Pain Related Disorders at Columbia-Presbyterian Medical Center of the City of New York (in association with Kenneth Green span, M.D.), The Sports Medicine Group in Santa Monica, California (in association with Karlis C. Ullis, M.D.), and Electro-Acuterapy Medical Centers of Orange County (in association with F.P. Meyer, M.D.). He has been on the Board of Directors of the National Institute of Electromedical Information and the International Society for Bioelectricity. Dr. Kirsch is the author of three books published in the 1970's and numerous articles in the field of electromedicine, and is the First Editor of the American Journal of Electromedicine. Dr. Kirsch is a member of the American Preventive Medical Association, the National Pain Outreach Association and other professional organizations. He is listed in Who's Who in the World, Two Thousand Notable Americans, and the International Directory of Distinguished Leadership.

Dr. Lawrence Paros is an educator, writer, and neuroscientist. His background includes a distinguished career in education and Human services. He created and directed two experimental schools which gained widespread recognition and which were cited by the U.S. Office of Education as "exemplary" and which replicated at more than 125 sites nationwide. He also supervised the development of a nationwide network of neighborhood-based inner city group homes for the Department of Labor. At Yale University, headed up a unique project for talented poverty youth, and later directed a model anti-poverty agency, featuring an innovative community center for appropriate technology. A recognized authority on language, the author of three books, several articles on education, and a former columnist for the Seattle Post-Intelligencer and commentator for the NPR affiliate in Seattle, he has given over the past ten years to the study of neurotechnology, and specifically CES. He is the former Vice President of Well Test Instruments and Process Instruments, Inc, the founder and CEO of CES Labs, and was one of the feature speakers at the first Neurotechnology Forum. His experience in educational innovation and curriculum development, concern for social justice, and skill in language/communication joins his interest and knowledge of scientific instrumentation in his current efforts to broaden the public's understanding and appreciation of CES and other mind enhancement technology.

### **References**

Achte, K.A., Kauko, K. and Seppala, K. On "electrosleep" therapy. *Psychiatric Quarterly*.

Bianco, Faust. The efficacy of cranial electrotherapy stimulation (CES) for the relief of

Braverman, E, Smith, R., Smayda, R, and Blum, K. Modification of P300 amplitude and other

Briones, David F. and Rosenthal, Saul H. Changes in urinary free catecholamine and 17-ket

Brotman, Philip. Low-intensity transcranial electrostimulation improves the efficacy of t

Brovar, A. Cocaine Detoxification with cranial electrotherapy stimulation (CES): A prelim

Cartwright, Rosalind D., and Weiss, Marc F. The effects of electrosleep on insomnia revi

Cox, Aris and Heath, Robert G. Neurotone therapy: A preliminary report of its effect on e

England, Ronald R. Treatment of migraine headache utilizing cerebral electrostimulation. M

Feighner, John P., Brown, Stuart L., and Olivier, J.Edward. Electrosleep therapy: A contro

Fields, W.R., Tacke, R.B., and Savana, B.S. Pulpal anodal blockade of trigeminal field pot

Flemenbaum, A. Cerebral Electrotherapy (Electrosleep): An open clinical study with a six

Forster, Sigmund, Post, Bernard, S., and Benton, Joseph G. Preliminary observations on ele

Frankel, Bernard L., Buchbinder, Rona, and Snyder, Frederick. Ineffectiveness of electros

Gibson, Thomas H., O'Hare, Donald E. Cranial application of low level transcranial electr

Professional Psychology, 1983.

Gomez, Evaristo and Mikhail, Adib R. Treatment of methadone withdrawal with cerebral elec

with cerebral electrotherapy (electrosleep). Paper presented at the annual meeting of th

Hearst, E.D., Cloninger, R., Crews, E.L. and Cadoret, R.J. Electrosleep therapy: A double-

Heffernan, Michael. The effect of a single cranial electrotherapy stimulation on multiple

Henderson, James L., Church, Dixie Jane, and Lee, A. Using electrosleep therapy with unive

hological Association, May, 1973.

Hochman, Richard. Neurotransmitter modulation (TENS) for control of dental operative pain.

Hokfelt, T., Ljungdahl, A., et al. Immunohistological analysis of peptide pathways possibl

Jarzemski, William B., Sanford J. Larson, and Sances, Anthony, Jr. Evaluation of specifi

Jemelka, Ron. Cerebral electrotherapy and anxiety reduction. Masters thesis, Stephan F. A

Klawansky, Sidney et al. Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials of cranial electros

Koegler, R.R., Hick, S.M. and Barger, J. Medical and psychiatric use of electrosleep (tra

Kotter, Gary S., Henschel, Ernest O., and Hogan, Walter J., et al. Inhibition of gastric a

Krupitsky, E.M., Burakov, G.B., Karandashova, JaS., et al. The administration of transcran